UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,905	07/06/2001	Hossein Mohsenzadeh	103171-0001	3607
	7590 05/15/200 MCKENNA, LLP	8	EXAMINER	
88 BLACK FA	LCON AVENUE		HAMILTON, LALITA M	
BOSTON, MA	02210		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	09/899,905	MOHSENZADEH, HO	OSSEIN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lalita M. Hamilton	3691				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	<b>J.</b> nely filed  the mailing date of this comm  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fe	bruary 2008.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21 and 51-77</u> is/are pending in the a	upplication					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21 and 51-77</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		_				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign     a) All b) Some * c) None of:     1. Certified copies of the priority documents     2. Certified copies of the priority documents     3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau     * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical structure.	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	age			
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3691

## **DETAILED ACTION**

On November 15, 2007, an Office Action was sent to the Applicant rejecting claims 1-21 and 51-77. On February 14, 2008, the Applicant responded with arguments.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-21 and 51-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jalili (6,088,683) in view of Rogers (7,136,475), as set forth in the previous Office Action.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 14, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that Rogers does not teach the element of "receiving a telephone call over the telephone network that seeks to initiate a

Application/Control Number: 09/899,905 Page 3

Art Unit: 3691

transaction with a target". Rogers teaches that the call management system is used to handle business communications (col.1, lines 43-46). In conducting a business communication, the caller is seeking to contact or receive a call from someone whom they wish to conduct business (or a transaction). The user may set VIP rules corresponding to VIPs in which the user wishes to conduct a business transaction (col.2. lines 20-40). Therefore, the Examiner is interpreting Rogers are reading onto the limitation, as claimed.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalita M. Hamilton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6743. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday (6:30-2:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kalinowski Alexander can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/899,905 Page 4

Art Unit: 3691

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lalita M Hamilton/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691